Case 2:00-cv-00113-MCE-DB Document 520 Filed 07/28/06 Page 1 of 2

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 AMERIPRIDE SERVICES, INC., A Delaware corporation, NO. CIV. S-00-113 LKK/JFM 12 Plaintiff, 13 v. 14 VALLEY INDUSTRIAL SERVICE, INC., 15 a former California corporation, et al., 16 Defendants. 17 AND CONSOLIDATED ACTION AND CROSS- AND COUNTER-CLAIMS. 18 19 The court is in receipt of the recent documents filed by 20 AmeriPride in the above-captioned case. The court notes that two 21 22 of these documents were filed past the filing deadline and were not 23 in compliance with the local rules. 24 First, AmeriPride filed an "amended" response to Huhtamaki's 25 statement of undisputed facts late in the afternoon on July 27,

2006, a full day after the filing deadline. The filing deadline

26

Case 2:00-cv-00113-MCE-DB Document 520 Filed 07/28/06 Page 2 of 2

was July 26, 2006 at 10:00 a.m. AmeriPride failed to explain its delay in filing and failed to seek permission of the court to file the amended pleading past the filing deadline.

Second, the court is also in receipt of AmeriPride's Exhibit V to the Declaration of Lee Smith in Support of AmeriPride's Opposition to Huhtamaki's motion for sanctions. This document contains roughly fifty pages of over 100 color photographs. This document was also filed late. It was filed on July 26, 2006 at 5:38 p.m. Moreover, the document was filed in violation of Local Rule 39-138, which specifically sets forth the procedures for filing color photographs. Finally, the court notes that although Exhibit V is referenced in AmeriPride's opposition brief, the brief fails to cite to particular pages and fails to explain the content of the photos. The court cannot, on its own, determine what the pictures even show much less how the pictures are relevant to the pending motion for sanctions.

For these reasons, the court orders that Exhibit V to the Declaration of Lee Smith in support of AmeriPride's Opposition to Huhtamaki's Motion for Sanctions and the Amended Response to Hutamaki's Statement of Undisputed Facts are STRICKEN from the record.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: July 28, 2006.

LAWRENCE K. KARLTON

SENIOR JUDGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT